

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,275	03/04/2002	Nacerdine Azzi	RCA 89433 (PF990009)	8474
7590 02/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Joseph S Tripoli			DONG, DALEI	
Thomson Multir	nedia Licensing			
PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ	08543-5312		2879	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	0 11 41 11	A Harrier	GÜ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assign Commons	09/937,275	AZZI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dalei Dong	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	<b>5</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 <u>December 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3,6 and 8-10 is/are pending in the	ne application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 September 2001</u>	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-18	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-092)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/937,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Amendment filed December 9, 2005, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 6, 7 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding to claim 6, the limitation of "constant radial angular position is about 0 degrees", should be changed to "constant first radial angular position is about 0 degrees" in order to keep the claim language consistent.

Regarding to claim 8, the limitation of "constant radial angular position" should be changed to "constant first radial angular position" in order to keep the claim language consistent.

Regarding to claim 9, the limitation of "first radial angular position" should be changed to "constant first radial angular position" in order to keep the claim language consistent.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,121,028 to Milili in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,152,685 to Renders.

Regarding to claim 1, Milili discloses in Figures 2-6, a deflection unit (55) for a color cathode ray tube comprising: a pair of saddle shaped vertical deflection coils (10) extending along a longitudinal axis Z of the tube, the pair of coils comprising a front portion (19) proximal a display screen, a rear portion (9) proximal an electron gun, and a harness portion (11 and 12) extending laterally between the front (19) and rear portion (9) and forming a window region (18) there-between, the harness portion (11 and 12) defined by an edge (11a and 12a) extending laterally to a first location within the window region (18).

However, Milili does not disclose the edge having a second radial angular potion at a second location within the window region.

The Renders reference teaches in Figures 2C-E and 3C-E, a deflection unit for a color cathode-ray tube comprising: the edge having a second radial angular position at a second location within the window region (column 2, line 40 to column 3, line 15) for the purpose of improving the accuracy of the electron converging on the display screen and enhancing a horizontal deflection efficiency, and eliminating a color shift of a convergence at a peripheral portion and an intermediate portion of a tube surface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have construct the vertical deflection coil of Milili with a second radial angular position at a second location within the window region according to

Art Unit: 2879

Renders in order to improve the accuracy of the electron converging on the display screen and enhances a horizontal deflection efficiency, and eliminates a color shift of a convergence at a peripheral portion and an intermediate portion of a tube surface.

Regarding to claim 3, Milili in view of Renders discloses the claimed invention except for the 7th-order harmonic of the potential is positive at the front of the coils, the Examiner asserts that the 7th-order harmonic is merely a property of the cathode ray tube and the prior art of record discloses the claimed invention. Further, it has been held that the property of a claimed apparatus does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations (see MPEP 2114).

Regarding to claim 6, Milili discloses in Figures 2-6, the constant radial angular position is about 0 degrees.

Regarding to claim 8, Milili discloses in Figures 2-6, the constant radial angular position is not more than about 30 degrees.

Regarding to claim 9, Milili discloses in Figures 2-6, the first radial angular position is about 0 degrees. The Render reference teaches the second radial angular position is not more than about 25 degrees. Thus, the difference between the first radial angular position and the second radial angular position is not more than about 25 degrees and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding to claim 10, Render teaches in Figures 2C-E and 3C-E, the second radial angular position is at least about 5 degrees and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6 and 8-10 have been considered but 5. are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 6. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2879

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

February 6, 2006

Karabi Inharay

Karabi Guharay

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2879